

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/076,569 02/19/2002 Semi Kao BHT-3202-5 1286 7590 10/21/2003 EXAMINER BRUCE H. TROXELL KUHNS, ALLAN R 5205 LEESBURG PIKE, SUITE 1404 FALLS CHURCH, VA. 22041	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
BRUCE H. TROXELL 5205 LEESBURG PIKE, SUITE 1404	10/076,569	02/19/2002	Semi Kao	BHT-3202-5	1286
5205 LEESBURG PIKE, SUITE 1404	7590 10/21/2003			EXAMINER	
	BRUCE H. TROXELL			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
	5205 LEESBURG PIKE, SUITE 1404 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			ARTIBUT	2.000
				1732	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	KAO	<u>``</u>
Examiner KUHNS		Group Art Unit 1732	

-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE (3) MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____ ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** is/are pending in the application. Claim(s)_ Of the above claim(s)___ _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s)_ Claim(s)_ ☐ Claim(s)_ __ is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) ___ are subject to restriction or election requirement **Application Papers** ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ___ is □ approved □ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ______ ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: ___ Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ ☐ Int rview Summary, PTO-413

Office Action Summary

Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R vi w, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-152

□ Oth r_____

Application/Control Number: 10/076,569

Art Unit: 1732

1.Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims are indefinite due to the grammar used. Confusing phrases are "wherein further comprises" in claims 2 and 5, "wherein further attaches" in claims 3 and 4, and "wherein further adds" in claim 6. A verb seems completely absent in claim 7.

- 2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3.Claims 1 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beck. Beck discloses the basic claimed method of recycling wasted tires including (1) mixing pellets or particles of wasted tires (column 5, lines 12-13) with natural rubber (column 4, line 25) and pressing the mixture (note the use of press pad 62 together with rollers 56 and 57 illustrated in Figure 8), (2) rolling the mixture, (3) foaming or expanding the mixture to a foamed pad or sheet, whereby the pellets or particles fasten to the binder (natural rubber in certain embodiments) in the forming process, and (4) cooling the foamed or expanded article (column 9, lines 3-5). Beck describes cross linking at column 4, line 31 and addition of sulfur to assist cross linking of natural rubber is well known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to cure the product.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,569

Art Unit: 1732

Beck teaches the use of a blowing agent, as in claim 6. Stirring to mix, as in claim 5, is well known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to form a homogeneous composite article.

4.Claims 2 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is 703-308-3462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (703) 305-5493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0661.

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

Page 3

10-18-03

illu R. Kuls